



OCTOBER 2026

LP GEORGIA VOTER GUIDE

PREPARED FOR GEORGIA VOTERS
ELECTION DAY IS NOVEMBER 3, 2026!

BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Measure	Commentary	Suggested Vote
Constitutional Amendment #1 House Resolution 32 Ga. L. 2025, p. 1081	This constitutional amendment would increase the maximum acreage that qualifies as bona fide conservation use property from 2,000 acres to 4,000 acres. The bona fide conservation use designation allows those using their land for agricultural production to pay less in property taxes by changing how their property values are assessed. Increasing the acreage limit would further extend this tax savings. What Georgia should be doing is eliminating property taxes altogether, with property taxes being a particularly egregious form of taxation. Reducing them only for particular uses is far from ideal, but it still keeps more money in the hands of rightful owners instead of the government.	YES

BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Measure	Commentary	Suggested Vote
Constitutional Amendment #2 House Resolution 251 Ga. L. 2026, p. 1110	This constitutional amendment would make all probate court judge elections in Georgia nonpartisan. Partisan elections in Georgia require third party and independent candidates to gather the signatures of 5% of registered voters in their districts, putting them at an immediate disadvantage and reducing voter choice. Making elections nonpartisan eliminates this requirement.	YES

BALLOT MEASURES

Ballot Measure	Commentary	Suggested Vote
Constitutional Amendment #3 House Resolution 1243 Ga. L. 2026, p. 1109	This constitutional amendment would create a dedicated fund for implementing Next Generation 9-1-1 systems in Georgia. From a technical standpoint, what the amendment actually does is create a non-lapsing fund. Non-lapsing funds reduce legislative oversight and fuel bureaucratic growth by not requiring new appropriation each year. That alone makes this amendment problematic. The larger issue, however, is what the funds are being used for. Next Generation 9-1-1 systems might well have the best of intentions to better enable first responders to save lives, but there are serious data privacy concerns in implementing such a system. We have repeatedly observed both government data breaches by third parties as well as misuse of collected data by government officials. Something as sensitive as a person's medical records could be very easily abused by bad actors, to say nothing of all the other data this would collect. It also isn't a service that individual citizens would be able to opt in or out of after weighing the risks and benefits for themselves.	NO